Evaluating Future Success of a Freshwater River Re-Introduction to the Floodplain Forests of Maurepas Swamp, Louisiana

Honora Buras Senior Coastal Resources Scientist

National Conference on Ecosystem Restoration August 29, 2018; New Orleans, LA





Science for a changing work

committed to our coast

Acknowledgements

Technical Advisory Group: Ken Krauss, Jim L. Chambers, Richard F. Keim, Gary P. Shaffer

CPRA:

Bernard Wood, Danielle Richardi, Honora Buras, Carol Parsons Richards, Brad Miller

USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2017-5036

https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2017/5036/sir20175036.pdf











Historical Habitats





- CWPPRA to 30%
 E&D (1999-2008)
- CPRA to 95% E&D (2008-2014)
- NFWF for OMMAM Planning
- RESTORE Act Construction ready
- RESTORE Bucket 2 or Corps of Engineers WSLP mitigation for Construction?

6- <u>1</u>



The Freshwater Re-Introduction to Maurepas Swamp

- Maurepas Swamp was cut off from the Mississippi River in 1814
- Small re-introduction designed to divert 57 m³/s of water at the inflow, which is further restricted to 42 m³/s from the outflow channel
- Idea Rehabilitate forested wetlands; deliver 5,000 kg NO₃ and up to 1,000 Mg of fine sediment each day





The Wetland Habitats

 Area of influence includes 16,583 hectares (45,000 acres) of wetland habitat



4,991 ha Closed forest canopy



<mark>9,672 ha</mark> Transitional forest







Surmountable Goals of River Re-Introductions (aka Diversions)

- Unprecedented in scope and application
- Setting Expectations Project will...

increase the health of the Maurepas Swamp forested ecosystem by delivering fine sediment and nutrient subsidies and simultaneously flushing stagnant water and metabolites from the system to keep salinity intrusion within acceptable limits



Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority



Technical Advisory Group Tasks

- To establish performance measures that can be used to rate the success of the freshwater re-introduction project into Maurepas Swamp over time
- Focus is on improving FORESTED HABITAT
- Ensure that the performance measures established target structure, function, and resilience of the forested wetland ecosystem into the future
- That they are reasonable, can be assessed, and can be used to guide adaptive management of the diversion





The field of dreams hypothesis: If you build it, they will come







What we chose based on the literature



Performance Measures (PM)

- PM1 Hydrology
- PM2 Salinity
- PM3 Surface elevation change
- PM4 Forest structure
- PM5 Nutrient uptake and retention



^{*} Spatial variability in response *

PM1 - Hydrology





Connected with MS River between twice per year and once every 10 years, depending on habitat

Experience water drawdown once every 3-13 years, depending on habitat

USGS

What the swamp

is currently doing

PM2 - Salinity



Mean annual porewater values

Different ranges established for the two different forested wetland habitat types

For baldcypress only, salinity should be < 1.6 psu

For water tupelo, salinity should be < 1.1 psu

Closure of the Mississippi River Gulf Outlet (MRGO) in 2009







PM3 – Surface Elevation Change





Surface elevation change AKA vertical land motion at the wetland surface (VLM_w) should range from 4.9 - 12.1 mm/year

May be ambitious, but relative SLR trend is 9.07 mm/year at Grand Isle tide gauge

VLM_w trends from nine CRMS stations in basin







Stand density Index > 30% of maximum

Leaf area index > 2.0

What the swamp is currently doing

Changes in forest structural criteria are important as a measure of forest health improvement

No specific PM for regeneration, because of the difficulties of determining recruitment

However, regeneration and degradation by invasive plants included in a Forested Floristic Quality Index (FFQI) performance measure (*not shown here*)





PM5 – Nutrient Uptake and Retention





 NO_3 -N loading from the diversion structure should range from 7.1-15.4 g N m⁻² year⁻¹

Do not know for sure what the swamp is currently receiving from point-sources of run-off, but no NO_3 is currently being derived from the MS River

No PM associated with phosphorus



Additional details...

- Temporal and Spatial variability in response?
- Potential reference sites
- Monitoring plan
- Variable assessment periods pre- and post-construction
- Adaptive Management





Science for a changing world

<text>

For more information: USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2017-5036

https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2017/5036/sir20175036.pdf



